2003-04 SESSION COMMITTEE HEARING RECORDS

Committee Name:

Senate Committee on Health, Children, Families, Aging and Long Term Care (SC-HCFALTC)

Sample:

Record of Comm. Proceedings ... RCP

- > 03hrAC-EdR_RCP_pt01a
- > 03hrAC-EdR_RCP_pt01b
- > 03hrAC-EdR_RCP_pt02

- > Appointments ... Appt
- > **
- > Clearinghouse Rules ... CRule
- > **
- Committee Hearings ... CH
- > **
- ➤ <u>Committee Reports</u> ... CR
- > **
- Executive Sessions ... ES
- > **
- ► <u>Hearing Records</u> ... HR
- > 03hr_ab0474a_pt02
- Miscellaneous ... Misc
- > **
- Record of Comm. Proceedings ... RCP
- > **



MICHAEL, OMRO WROTE:

As a member of your district, I am writing this to you in hopes that the members of our state senate and assembly realize that voting yes to proposal of AB 574 is definitly wrong. As a person whom used to travel to the Mazo beach area with his family every weekend when we lived in the Madison area. I find it completly appauling that the legislation keeps stepping in and taking more and more away from a "community" that has done more for that stretch of land along the Wisconsin river than the legislation has done in the last 10 years. Through the Friends of Mazo we have used funds to prevent erosion of what is a natural resource that as a state thinks very highly of. But you get one or two right wing people and all of a sudden something has to be done. Approxamitly three years ago conservation drive was closed to traffic . Now isn't that ironic since our tax dollars are used to keep that road up. But yet as a tax paying citizen we cannot even drive on it. Also camping was made illiegal on a stretch of land again owned by the tax payers. I do understand why some of these laws were implimented. Part of which being a parent I do agree to, but at the same time there isn't a single person that goes boating or canoeing down that stretch of river that does not know about the Clothing Optional beach being there. I feel there are just as many people going down there to oggle. Through the cooperation of the Friends of Mazo, the beach area itself has been self policed so no illiset sexual acts are going on or drugs. We are very grateful that we are allowed to have that small section that we can shed all insecurites. Nudity is not about who has the better body in fact it is quite the opposite. When you are naked you are judged for whom you are. Not the clothes you are wearing. You become comfortable with who you are, because you build up self esteem even if you are not a perfect model figure. Trust me in that fact that I have never once come back home from any nude beach\resort sexually urged. From all the places I have been the people usually are not that attractive, including myself. I am 6' 265lbs not your next model for GQ. But yet we are comfortable with who we are and what we have been given. This is a peace of mind I have learned through nude recreation. This is something my children have been exposed to and if they become uncomfortable being naked around people they will not be forced to go. But in a society were so much is based on physical appearance and eating disorders are more common because of a cosmetic society, it is nice to know that I took my expierence from being a nudist and look at myself in the mirror everyday and am grateful for 10 fingers, 10 toes and I am not perfect in physique, but am happy with who I am. As a government for the people I continually see are freedoms being taken away one at a time. I realize I am only one voter and there are many other people out there that you represent. Yet even after all the laws that have passed against Mazo Beach, I urge you and the rest of the senate and assembly to still see just how many people venture out to the beach on the weekends and make that long walk to relax on a small stretch of land that just happens to be Clothing Optional. After all if this was a clothed beach none of these letters would be flooding your desk because, well no one would bitch, and the state would care less about parking, or camping on the beach. After all if we were supposed to be naked we would have been born that way. Thank you Michael Fischer Omro, Wi 54963

DRAFT RESPONSE:

Dear Michael.

Thank you for contacting me with your concerns about Assembly Bill 574, relating to: prohibiting nudity on land owned, managed, supervised, or controlled by a state agency, restricting parking in certain parking lots in the town of Mazomanie, and providing a penalty.

Where I understand your strong feelings against this bill. I regret to inform you that I do not support public nudity. In past years my record shows that I have supported other laws banning public nudity.

While I am not a cosponsor of this particular bill, I am in support of banning nudity at Mazomanie Beach. However I have not cosponsored this bill due to the parking parameters included in this bill. I feel that if public nudity is banned at this beach more families might gather and enjoy the area.

Thank you again for contacting my office. I appreciate your concern and acknowledge your opinion. If you have further concerns please contact my office.

Assembly Bill 474

ASSEMBLY BILL 474 (PETTIS/LASSA) RELATING TO: NOTICE FOR CHILD ABUSE, VULNERABLE ADULT, AND HARASSMENT INJUNCTIONS.

- This bill makes a child abuse injunction, vulnerable adult injunction, or harassment injunction effective as soon as the court issues it as long as the subject was served with the petition and notice of the time for the injunctive hearing.
- Passed the Assembly Committee on Children and Families as amended 7-0 on November 4, 2004.
- Passed the Assembly on February 3, 2004 (voice vote).



LRB-2719/1 CMH&MJL:kjf:cph

Pattis tostified/

2003 ASSEMBLY BILL 474

nd 5mp

August 14, 2003 – Introduced by Representatives Pettis, Hahn, Albers, Van Roy, Coggs, Hundertmark, F. Lasee, Kreibich and Bies, cosponsored by Senator Lassa. Referred to Committee on Children and Families.

AN ACT *to renumber* 813.12 (7) (intro.), 813.12 (7) (a), 813.12 (7) (b), 813.122 (10)

(intro.), 813.122 (10) (a), 813.122 (10) (b), 813.123 (9) (intro.), 813.123 (9) (a),

813.123 (9) (b), 813.125 (6) (intro.), 813.125 (6) (a) and 813.125 (6) (b); *to amend*813.12 (7) (c); and *to create* 813.122 (10) (c), 813.123 (9) (c) and 813.125 (6) (c)

of the statutes; *relating to*: notice for child abuse, vulnerable adult, and

harassment injunctions.

Analysis by the Legislative Reference Bureau

Current law allows a court to impose four types of restraining orders: domestic abuse, child abuse, vulnerable adult, and harassment. For each petition for an order, the court first holds a hearing to determine whether to issue a temporary restraining order and later holds a hearing to determine whether to issue an injunction. Temporary restraining orders are effective until the court holds the injunctive hearing. At the hearing, if the court issues a child abuse, vulnerable adult, or harassment injunction, the injunction is effective once the subject of the injunction is served with the injunction. If the court issues a domestic abuse injunction, however, it is effective as soon as the court issues it as long as the subject was served with the petition and the notice of the time for the injunctive hearing.

ASSEMBLY BILL 474

This bill makes a child abuse injunction, vulnerable adult injunction, or harassment injunction effective as soon as the court issues it as long as the subject was served with the petition and notice of the time for the injunctive hearing.

The people of the state of Wisconsin, represented in senate and assembly, do enact as follows:

SECTION 1. 813.12 (7) (intro.) of the statutes is renumbered 813.12 (7) (am) 1 2 (intro.). 3 **SECTION 2.** 813.12 (7) (a) of the statutes is renumbered 813.12 (7) (am) 1. 4 **SECTION 3.** 813.12 (7) (b) of the statutes is renumbered 813.12 (7) (am) 2. 5 **SECTION 4.** 813.12 (7) (c) of the statutes is amended to read: 6 813.12 (7) (c) A respondent who does not appear at a hearing at which the court 7 orders an injunction under s. 813.12 sub. (4) but who has been served with a copy of 8 the petition and notice of the time for hearing under s. 813.12 (3) sub. (4) (a) 2. has 9 constructive knowledge of the existence of the injunction and shall be arrested for 10 violation of the injunction regardless of whether he or she has been served with a 11 copy of the injunction. 12 **SECTION 5.** 813.122 (10) (intro.) of the statutes is renumbered 813.122 (10) (am) 13 (intro.). 14 **SECTION 6.** 813.122 (10) (a) of the statutes is renumbered 813.122 (10) (am) 1. **SECTION 7.** 813.122 (10) (b) of the statutes is renumbered 813.122 (10) (am) 2. 15 16 **SECTION 8.** 813.122 (10) (c) of the statutes is created to read: 17 813.122 (10) (c) A respondent who does not appear at a hearing at which the 18 court orders an injunction under sub. (5) but who has been served with a copy of the 19 petition and notice of the time for hearing under sub. (5) (a) 2. has constructive 20 knowledge of the existence of the injunction and shall be arrested for violation of the

ASSEMBLY BILL 474

1 injunction regardless of whether he or she has been served with a copy of the 2 injunction. 3 **SECTION 9.** 813.123 (9) (intro.) of the statutes is renumbered 813.123 (9) (am) 4 (intro.). 5 **SECTION 10.** 813.123 (9) (a) of the statutes is renumbered 813.123 (9) (am) 1. **SECTION 11.** 813.123 (9) (b) of the statutes is renumbered 813.123 (9) (am) 2. 6 7 **SECTION 12.** 813.123 (9) (c) of the statutes is created to read: 813.123 (9) (c) A respondent who does not appear at a hearing at which the 8 9 court orders an injunction under sub. (5) but who has been served with a copy of the 10 petition and notice of the time for hearing under sub. (5) (a) 2. has constructive 11 knowledge of the existence of the injunction and may be arrested for violation of the 12 injunction regardless of whether he or she has been served with a copy of the 13 injunction. 14 **SECTION 13.** 813.125 (6) (intro.) of the statutes is renumbered 813.125 (6) (am) 15 (intro.). 16 **SECTION 14.** 813.125 (6) (a) of the statutes is renumbered 813.125 (6) (am) 1. 17 **SECTION 15.** 813.125 (6) (b) of the statutes is renumbered 813.125 (6) (am) 2. 18 **SECTION 16.** 813.125 (6) (c) of the statutes is created to read: 19 813.125 (6) (c) A respondent who does not appear at a hearing at which the 20 court orders an injunction under sub. (4) but who has been served with a copy of the 21 petition and notice of the time for hearing under sub. (4) (a) 2. has constructive 22 knowledge of the existence of the injunction and shall be arrested for violation of the 23 injunction regardless of whether he or she has been served with a copy of the 24 injunction. 25 Section 17. Initial applicability.

ASSEMBLY BILL 474

1

2

3

4

(1) The treatment of sections 813.122 (10) (c), 813.123 (9) (c), and 813.125 (6)
(c) of the statutes first applies to actions commenced on the effective date of this
subsection.

(END)